

| | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | | 10/608,023 | YANO ET AL. | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | CHAN S. PARK | 2625 | |

All Participants:

(1) CHAN S. PARK.

(2) Gary M. Jacobs (Reg. No. 28,861).

Date of Interview: 24 November 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization for the examiner's amendment was given.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

/CHAN S PARK/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)